arXiv:1507.00855v1 [math.DS] 3 Jul 2015

$\mathfrak{B}$-free integers in number fields and dynamics

Aurelia Bartnicka* Joanna Kułaga-Przymus*

July 6, 2015

Abstract

Recently, Sarnak initiated the study of the dynamics of the system determined by the square of the Möbius function (the characteristic function of the square-free integers). We deal with his program in the more general context of $\mathfrak{B}$-free integers in number fields, suggested by Baake and Huck. This setting encompasses the classical square-free case and its generalizations. Given a number field K, let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a family of pairwise coprime ideals in its ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$, such that $\sum_{\mathfrak{b}\in\mathfrak{B}} 1/|\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{b}| < \infty$. We study the dynamical system determined by the set $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{B}} = \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{b}\in\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathfrak{B}$-free integers in $\mathcal{O}_K$. We show that the characteristic function $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{B}}}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is generic for a probability measure on $\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$, invariant under the corresponding multidimensional shift. The corresponding measure-theoretical dynamical system is proved to be isomorphic to an ergodic rotation on a compact Abelian group. In particular, it is of zero Kolmogorov entropy. Moreover, we provide a description of "patterns" appearing in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and compute the topological entropy of the topological system given by the closure of the orbit of $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{B}}}$. Finally, we show that this topological dynamical system is proximal and therefore has no non-trivial equicontinuous factor, but has a non-trivial topological joining with an ergodic rotation on a compact Abelian group.

Contents

1 Introduction 2
1.1 Motivation 2
1.2 Results 5
1.3 Tools 5

2 Basic objects, definitions, notation 5
2.1 Number fields and ideals 5
2.2 $\mathfrak{B}$-free integers in number fields 6
2.3 Dynamical system outputting $\mathfrak{B}$-free integers 6
2.4 Admissible subsets 8
2.5 Følner sequences 8
2.6 Generic points 8
2.7 Entropy for $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions 9

---

*Research supported by National Science Centre, Poland, grant UMO-2014/15/B/ST1/03736.

2.8 Proximality 10
2.9 Topological joinings 11

3 Statements and proofs for $\mathfrak{B}$-free integers 11
3.1 Statements 11
3.2 Proof of Theorem A (i) 12
3.3 Proof of Theorem C 13
3.4 Proof of Theorem B (and beyond) 14
3.5 Proof of Theorem A (ii) 18
3.6 Proof of Theorem D 20
3.7 Proof of Theorem E 21

4 From $\mathfrak{B}$-free integers to $\mathscr{B}$-free lattice points 21

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

The Möbius function $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is one of central objects in number theory. Recall that it is given by $\boldsymbol{\mu}(1)=1$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)=(-1)^m$ when n is a product of m distinct primes, and takes value zero for n which are not square-free. The function $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ displays quite a random behavior that is reflected in the bound $\sum_{n\leq N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)=\mathrm{o}(\mathrm{N})$, which is in turn equivalent to the Prime Number Theorem (cf. [3], p. 91). Moreover, $\sum_{n\leq N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)=\mathrm{O}_\varepsilon(N^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ (for each $\varepsilon>0$) is already equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis [42]. Recently, $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ has become of an interest also from the point of view of ergodic theory. Sarnak, in his seminal paper [38], suggested a new direction of studies, conjecturing that

$$\sum_{n\leq N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)f(T^nx)=\mathrm{o}(N) \tag{1.1}$$

for any zero topological entropy homeomorphism T of a compact metric space X, each $f\in C(X)$ and $x\in X$. The convergence resulting from (1.1) is related to the Chowla conjecture from the 1960's [10] on higher order self-correlations of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ (Sarnak's conjecture follows from the Chowla conjecture [39, 1]). Sarnak also proposed to study the dynamical systems related to $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and to $\boldsymbol{\mu}^2$ (the latter of these two is nothing but the subshift determined by the characteristic function of so-called *square-free integers* – integers not divisible by the square of any prime). In each case, we consider the closure X_z of the orbit of the corresponding sequence $z\in\{\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\mu}^2\}$ in the space $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ (with $A=\{-1,0,1\}$ or $\{0,1\}$ in the latter case) of sequences under the left shift S (formally, for $z\in\{\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\mu}^2\}$, $z(n)$ is defined for $n\geq 1$, but the corresponding orbit closures can be nevertheless treated as subsets of $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$: $X_z=\{x\in A^{\mathbb{Z}}:$ each block appearing in x appears in $z\}$). While the dynamics of $(S,X_{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ is still quite mysterious and there are many open questions related to it, we can study it through the much simpler system $(S,X_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2})$ which is a topological factor of $(S,X_{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ via the map $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mapsto(x_n^2)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Sarnak [38] announced several results concering $(S,X_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2})$:

(A) $\boldsymbol{\mu}^2$ is a generic point for a natural shift-invariant zero Kolmogorov entropy probability measure $\nu_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2}$ on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$,

(B) topological entropy of $(S, X_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2})$ is equal to $6/\pi^2$,

(C) $X_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2}$ consists of so-called *admissible sequences*, i.e. $x \in X_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2}$ is equivalent to $|\text{supp}\, x \bmod p^2| < p^2$ for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$,[1]

(D) $(S, X_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2})$ is proximal and $\{(\dots, 0, 0, 0, \dots)\}$ is the unique minimal subset of $X_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2}$,

(E) the maximal equicontinuous factor of $(S, X_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2})$ is trivial but $(S, X_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2})$ has a non-trivial joining with a rotation on the compact Abelian group $G = \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$.

The above program has been discussed by several authors, both in the setting proposed by Sarnak, and for some natural generalizations [8, 35, 22, 2, 36, 9, 4]. The purpose of this paper is to extend these works, providing a unified approach to Sarnak's program in all previous cases. Before going into details, we survey the earlier results.

Square-free integers Sarnak's program is first addressed by Cellarosi and Sinai, who cover (A) in [8]. More precisely, they show that $\boldsymbol{\mu}^2$ is indeed generic for a shift-invariant measure $\nu_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2}$ on $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and that $(S, X_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2}, \nu_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2})$ is isomorphic to a rotation on the compact Abelian group $\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ (in particular, $(S, X_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2}, \nu_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2})$ is of zero Kolmogorov entropy). Statements (B) and (C) are proved in [35] by Peckner who also shows that $(S, X_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2})$ has only one measure of maximal entropy, i.e. it is intrinsically ergodic. The proofs of (D) and (E) were provided later by Huck and Baake [22], in the more general setting of k-free lattice points, see below.

$\mathscr{B}$-free integers The set of square-free numbers is a special case of a set of integers with no factors in a given set $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, which is called the $\mathscr{B}$-free set and denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{B}}$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{B}} = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{B}}, \text{ where } \mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{B}} = \bigcup_{b\in\mathscr{B}} b\mathbb{Z}. \tag{1.2}$$

Such sets $\mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{B}}$ were studied already in 1930's by numerous mathematicians, including Behrend, Chowla, Davenport, Erdös and Schur, see [21]. Clearly, $\mathscr{B} = \{p^2 \in \mathbb{N} : p \text{ is prime}\}$ yields $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{B}}} = \boldsymbol{\mu}^2$.

In the general setting (1.2), it is unclear how to define a reasonable analogue of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. However, we can put $\eta := \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{B}}}$ and study the associated dynamical system (S, X_η). The asymptotic density of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{B}}$ (and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{B}}$) exists only under additional assumptions on $\mathscr{B}$ [5, 16]. In particular, this happens whenever

$$\mathscr{B} \text{ is infinite, pairwise coprime and } \sum_{b\in\mathscr{B}} 1/b < \infty, \tag{I}$$

which clearly includes the classical square-free case. In this setting, i.e. (I), the program (A)-(E) proposed by Sarnak was studied by Abdalaoui, Lemańczyk and de la Rue [2]. In particular, they proved (A)-(C) (with $\boldsymbol{\mu}^2$ replaced with η). The question of intrinsic ergodicity in this context was answered positively in [25], where also a full description of all invariant probability measures for (S, X_η) was given.

---

[1] We denote by supp x the support of x, i.e. $\text{supp}\, x = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : x(n) \neq 0\}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ stands for the set of primes.

k-free lattice points Another way of generalizing the square-free setting considered by Sarnak was discussed by Pleasants and Huck [36]. Given a lattice Λ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ (i.e. a discrete cocompact subgroup), they define the set of k-free lattice points by

$$\mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F}_k(\Lambda) := \Lambda \setminus \bigcup_{p\in\mathcal{P}} p^k\Lambda. \tag{II}$$

The associated dynamical system is the orbit closure X_k of $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}_k} \in \{0,1\}^\Lambda$ under the corresponding multidimensional shift $(S_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ (see Section 2.3). It is shown in [36] that $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}_k}$ is a generic point under $(S_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ for a probability measure ν on X_k, and that $((S_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}, X_k, \nu)$ is measure-theoretically isomorphic to a rotation on the compact Abelian group $\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \Lambda/p^k\Lambda$ (cf. (A) above). A formula for topological entropy of $((S_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}, X_k)$ is also given (cf. (B) above). Finally, X_k is described in terms of admissible patches (cf. (C) above).

k-free integers in number fields Also Cellarosi and Vinogradov [9] discuss a more general setting than the original one considered by Sarnak. They deal with algebraic number fields and define all the objects in this context. For a finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}$, they study the following subset of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K \subseteq K$:

$$\mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F}_k(\mathcal{O}_K) := \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathfrak{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k \tag{III}$$

($\mathfrak{P}$ stands for the family of all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$ and $\mathfrak{p}^k$ stands for $\underbrace{\mathfrak{p}\dots\mathfrak{p}}_{k}$).

They consider the orbit closure X_k of $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}_k} \in \{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ under the multidimensional shift $(S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$. Using similar methods as developed earlier by Cellarosi and Sinai in [8], they prove an analogue of (A). In fact, they show that $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}_k}$ is generic for an ergodic probability measure ν on $\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$, and that $((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, X_k, \nu)$ is measure-theoretically isomorphic to a rotation on the compact Abelian group $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathfrak{P}} \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^k$.

$\mathscr{B}$-free lattice points Baake and Huck in their recent survey [4] extend (II) and define $\mathscr{B}$-free lattice points in a lattice $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{B}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{B}}(\Lambda) := \Lambda \setminus \bigcup_{b\in\mathscr{B}} b\Lambda, \tag{IV}$$

where $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\setminus\{1\}$ is an infinite pairwise coprime set with $\sum_{b\in\mathscr{B}} 1/b^d < \infty$. They announce similar results as for k-free lattice points, leaving the details to the reader due to the similarity of methods.

$\mathfrak{B}$-free integers in number fields The setting we deal with in this paper also origins from [4], where $\mathfrak{B}$-free integers in number fields are defined. Given a finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}$, with the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$, we set

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{B}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{O}_K) := \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{b}\in\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{b}, \tag{V}$$

where $\mathfrak{B}$ is an infinite pairwise coprime collection of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_K$ with

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{b}\in\mathfrak{B}} 1/|\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{b}| < \infty.$$

1.2 Results

The shortest possible way to state the main results is the following:

(A)-(E) are true in setting (V).

A more detailed formulation, together with the proofs, can be found in Section 3, after we have introduced the necessary objects. Moreover, in Section 4, we show that (V) encompasses all the other cases listed above in Section 1.1, i.e. (I)-(IV).

1.3 Tools

This section is far from being a complete list of tools used in this paper. We'd rather highlight these ingredients which play a particular role in the proofs.

A starting point for us is the approach developed in [2] to deal with setting (I). Suppose that $\mathscr{B} = \{b_k : k \geq 1\}$ satisfies (I). The main tool in [2] is the map $\varphi\colon \prod_{k\geq 1} \mathbb{Z}/b_k\mathbb{Z} \to \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ given by

$$\varphi(g)(n) = 1 \iff g_k + n \not\equiv 0 \bmod b_k \text{ for each } k \geq 1,$$

where $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots)$. Clearly, $\varphi(0,0,\dots) = \eta$. A crucial observation is that this map has a natural counterpart in setting (V), cf. (2.8).

The second key "ingredient", which in setting (I) comes from [25] and has appeared in [35], is the set

$$Y := \{y \in X_\eta : |\text{supp } y \bmod b_k| = b_k - 1 \text{ for each } k \geq 1\} \subseteq X_\eta,$$

together with the map $\theta\colon Y \to \prod_{k\geq 1} \mathbb{Z}/b_k\mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(x) = g &\iff -g_k \notin \text{supp } x \bmod b_k \text{ for each } k \geq 1\\ &\iff \text{supp } x \cap (b_k\mathbb{Z} - g_k) = \emptyset \text{ for each } k \geq 1.\end{aligned}$$

This map also has a counterpart in setting (V), see (3.20).

The third important tool we would like to mention is Følner sequences, which arise naturally in applications of the Ergodic Theorem. They allow for transparent calculations but give only qualitative results without an explicit rate of convergence as in [9]. Følner sequences play a crucial role in the computation of topological entropy, where we fix a Følner sequence having additional properties.

2 Basic objects, definitions, notation

2.1 Number fields and ideals

Let K be an algebraic number field with degree $d = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ with the integer ring $\mathcal{O}_K$. As in every Dedekind domain, all proper non-zero ideals in $\mathcal{O}_K$ factor (uniquely, up to the order) into a product of prime ideals. We will denote ideals in $\mathcal{O}_K$ by $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \dots$ We have

$$\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} = \{a + b : a \in \mathfrak{a}, b \in \mathfrak{b}\},\ \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = \{a_1b_1 + \dots + a_kb_k : a_i \in \mathfrak{a}, b_i \in \mathfrak{b}, 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

Proper ideals $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ are said to be *coprime* whenever $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} = \mathcal{O}_K$. Equivalently, $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ do not share factors: there are no non-trivial ideals $\mathfrak{a}', \mathfrak{b}', \mathfrak{c}$ such that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{a}'$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{b}'$.

The *algebraic norm* of an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \neq \{0\}$ is defined as $N(\mathfrak{a}) := |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{a}| = [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathfrak{a}]$. The *Dedekind zeta function* is given by

$$\zeta_K(s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}\neq\{0\}} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathfrak{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})^s}\right)^{-1} \text{ for } s \text{ with } \Re(s) > 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Finally, recall that there is a natural isomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_K$ to a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^d$, called the *Minkowski embedding* (see e.g. Chapter I, §5 in [32]). We refer the reader to [6, 32] for more background information on algebraic number theory.

2.2 $\mathfrak{B}$-free integers in number fields

Let $\mathfrak{B} := \{\mathfrak{b}_\ell : \ell \geq 1\}$ be an infinite collection of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that

(i) $\mathfrak{B}$ is pairwise coprime,

(ii) $\sum_{\ell\geq 1} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)} < \infty$.

Remark 2.1. In particular, we can take $\mathfrak{B} = \{\mathfrak{p}^k : \mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}\}$, $k \geq 2$ (recall that $N(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) = N(\mathfrak{a})N(\mathfrak{b})$ for any ideals $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ and cf. (2.1)).

Definition 2.1. We say that

(i) $\mathfrak{a}$ is $\mathfrak{B}$-*free* whenever $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{b}_\ell$ for all $\ell \geq 1$ (equivalently, $\mathfrak{a}$ cannot be written as a product of $\mathfrak{b}_\ell$ with another ideal);

(ii) $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ is $\mathfrak{B}$-*free* if the principal ideal $(a) := a\mathcal{O}_K$ is $\mathfrak{B}$-free.

We denote the set of $\mathfrak{B}$-free integers in $\mathcal{O}_K$ by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{B}}$.

Remark 2.2. Since for any ideal $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ and $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ we have $a \notin \mathfrak{b} \iff (a) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{b}$, it follows immediately that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{B}} = \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \bigcup_{\ell\geq 1} \mathfrak{b}_\ell. \tag{2.2}$$

The characteristic function of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ will be denoted by $\eta \in \{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$, i.e.

$$\eta(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \text{ is } \mathfrak{B}\text{-free}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

2.3 Dynamical system outputting $\mathfrak{B}$-free integers

Given an Abelian group $\mathbb{G}$ and a finite alphabet $\mathcal{A}$, there is a natural action on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{G}}$ by $\mathbb{G}$ by commuting translations:

$$S_a((x_b)_{b\in\mathbb{G}}) = (y_b)_{b\in\mathbb{G}},\ y_b = x_{b+a} \text{ for } a, b \in \mathbb{G}. \tag{2.4}$$

In particular, on $\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$, we have an action of $\mathcal{O}_K$ by

$$S_a((x_b)_{b\in\mathcal{O}_K}) = (x_{b+a})_{b\in\mathcal{O}_K}, a \in \mathcal{O}_K. \tag{2.5}$$

If $X \subseteq \{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ is a closed and $(S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$-invariant, we say that X is a *subshift*. We will denote by $X_\eta \subseteq \{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ the smallest subshift containing η.

Consider

$$G := \prod_{\ell \geq 1} \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{b}_\ell \tag{2.6}$$

with coordinatewise addition. It is the product of finite groups $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{b}_\ell$ and the Haar measure $\mathbb{P}$ on G is the product of the corresponding counting measures. Moreover, there is a natural $\mathcal{O}_K$-action on G by translations:

$$T_a(g_1, g_2, \dots) = (g_1 + a, g_2 + a, \dots), a \in \mathcal{O}_K. \tag{2.7}$$

Lemma 2.3. *$((T_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, G, \mathbb{P})$ is ergodic.*

Proof. For $\ell \geq 1$, let $Sp(\ell)$ be the (point) spectrum of the restriction of $(T_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$ to the ℓth coordinate of G. It suffices to show that $Sp(\ell_1) \cap Sp(\ell_2) = \{1\}$ for each $\ell_1 > \ell_2 \geq 1$. For $\ell \geq 1$ we have

$$Sp(\ell) = \{\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K : \chi(\mathfrak{b}_\ell) = \{1\}\},$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K$ stands for the dual group to $\mathcal{O}_K$. Fix $\ell_1 > \ell_2 \geq 1$ and take $\chi \in Sp(\ell_1) \cap Sp(\ell_2)$. In particular, $\chi(\mathfrak{b}_{\ell_i}) = \{1\}$, for $i = 1, 2$. Since the ideals $\mathfrak{b}_{\ell_1}$ and $\mathfrak{b}_{\ell_2}$ are coprime, i.e. $\mathfrak{b}_{\ell_1} + \mathfrak{b}_{\ell_2} = \mathcal{O}_K$, we obtain $\chi(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}$, which completes the proof. □

Remark 2.4. Notice that the group $G_L := \prod_{\ell=1}^{L} \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{b}_\ell$ is finite, whence the ergodicity of the restriction of $(T_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$ to the first L coordinates of G is equivalent to the transitivity. This, together with the Chinese Remainder Theorem for commutative rings (see e.g. Chapter I, §3 in [32]) yields another proof of the above lemma.

Remark 2.5. As an ergodic rotation on a compact group, $((T_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, G)$ is uniquely ergodic.

Let $\varphi\colon G \to \{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ be defined as

$$\varphi(g)(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } g_\ell + a \not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell \text{ for each } \ell \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

where $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots)$. Notice that $\varphi(\underline{0}) = \eta = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{B}}$, where $\underline{0} = (0, 0, \dots)$.

Remark 2.6. We have $\varphi = (\mathbb{1}_C \circ T_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$, where

$$C = \{g \in G : g_\ell \not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell \text{ for each } \ell \geq 1\}. \tag{2.9}$$

In other words, φ is the coding of orbits of points under $(T_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$ with respect to the partition $\{C, G \setminus C\}$ of G.

Finally, let $\nu_\eta := \varphi_*(\mathbb{P})$ be the pushforward of $\mathbb{P}$ under φ. We will call ν_η the *Mirsky measure*. In the case of $\{p^k : p \in \mathcal{P}\}$-free numbers, in particular in the square-free case, this measure was considered by Mirsky [28, 29] (cf. also [30]) who studied the frequencies of blocks, cf. Theorem A.

2.4 Admissible subsets

Given a subset $A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ and an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$, let

$$D(\mathfrak{a}|A) := |A/\mathfrak{a}| = |\{b \bmod \mathfrak{a} : b \equiv a \bmod \mathfrak{a} \text{ for some } a \in A\}|.$$

Definition 2.2 (cf. [39])**.** We say that A is $\mathfrak{B}$-*admissible* (or simply *admissible*) whenever

$$D(\mathfrak{b}_\ell|A) < N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell) \text{ for each } \ell \geq 1.$$

We say that $x \in \{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ is $\mathfrak{B}$-admissible if its support supp x is $\mathfrak{B}$-admissible; we will denote the set of all admissible sequences in $\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ by $X_{\mathfrak{B}}$ (cf. Remark 2.7).

Remark 2.7. Notice that $X_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a subshift. Indeed, it suffices to notice that if $x \in \{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ is such that for each finite $B \subseteq \text{supp } x$,

$$D(\mathfrak{b}_\ell|B) < N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell) \text{ for each } \ell \geq 1,$$

then $D(\mathfrak{b}_\ell|\text{supp } x) < N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.3 (cf. [24, 26])**.** Let $Y \subseteq \{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ be a subshift. We say that Y is *hereditary* whenever $x, x' \in \{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ with $x \in Y$, $x' \leq x$ (coordinatewise) implies $x' \in Y$.

Clearly, $X_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is hereditary.

2.5 Følner sequences

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a countable group.

Definition 2.4 ([17])**.** We say that $(F_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{G}$ is a *Følner sequence* in $\mathbb{G}$ if $\bigcup_{n\geq 1} F_n = \mathbb{G}$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|gF_n \cap F_n|}{|F_n|} = 1$$

for each $g \in \mathbb{G}$. If $F_n \subseteq F_{n+1}$ for each $n \geq 1$, we say that $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is *nested.*

Definition 2.5 ([40])**.** A sequence of finite sets $(F_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{G}$ is said to be *tempered* if, for some $C > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| \bigcup_{k<n} F_k^{-1} F_n \right| \leq C|F_n|.$$

2.6 Generic points

Definition 2.6. We say that $z \in \{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ is *generic* for ν (under $(S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$) if the Ergodic Theorem holds at z for any $f \in C(\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K})$, for any Følner sequence $(F_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$:

$$\frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{a\in F_n} f(S_a z) \to \int f \, d\nu. \tag{2.10}$$

For finite disjoint sets $A, B \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$, let

$$C_{A,B} := \{x \in X_{\mathfrak{B}} : x(a) = 1 \text{ for } a \in A \text{ and } x(b) = 0 \text{ for } b \in B\}. \tag{2.11}$$

If B is empty, we write C_A^1 for $C_{A,\emptyset}$. Analogously, we write C_B^0 for $C_{\emptyset,B}$. We will need the following result from measure theory (for the proof, see e.g. Lemma 2.3. in [2]).

Lemma 2.8. *In the probability space* (Ω, P), *let* $(E_n)_{n\geq 1}$ *be a sequence of events, and, for* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *let* $F_n := \Omega \setminus E_n$. *Then for any finite disjoint subsets* $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ *we have*

$$P\left(\bigcap_{n\in A} E_n \cap \bigcap_{m\in B} F_m\right) = \sum_{A\subseteq D\subseteq A\cup B} (-1)^{|D\setminus A|} P\left(\bigcap_{d\in D} E_d\right).$$

Remark 2.9. Since continuous functions that depend only on finitely many coordinates are dense in $C(\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K})$, it suffices to prove (2.10) for characteristic functions $\mathbb{1}_{C_{A,B}}$ of cylinder sets $C_{A,B}$, for disjoint finite $A, B \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$, in order to obtain that z is generic for ν. Indeed, the space of continuous functions depending on coordinates from a given finite set $C \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ has dimension $2^{|C|}$. On the other hand, there are $2^{|C|}$ possible choices of disjoint $A, B \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ with $A \cup B = C$ and the family of characteristic functions $\mathbb{1}_{C_{A,B}}$ for such A, B is linearly independent. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 2.8 that, in fact, it suffices to prove (2.10) for functions of the form $\mathbb{1}_{C_B^0}$, for finite $B \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$.

2.7 Entropy for $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions

In this section we collect necessary results concerning the entropy theory for $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions, which come into play via the Minkowski embedding of $\mathcal{O}_K$ into $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Suppose that $(T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ acts continuously on a compact metric space X. We denote by $h_{top}((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X)$ the *topological entropy* of $(T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$, see [37, 13] for the definition.

Remark 2.10. Fix a finite alphabet $\mathcal{A}$, let $(S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ be defined as in (2.4), and let $X \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ be a subshift. Then

$$h_{top}((S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log_2 \gamma(n),$$

where

$$\gamma(n) = |\{A \in \mathcal{A}^{F_n} : x(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}) = A(\mathbf{n}) \\ \text{for some } x \in X \text{ and } \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \text{ and all } \mathbf{n} \in F_n\}|$$

and $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is any Følner sequence in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. To prove it, one can use the same arguments as in the classical case of $\mathbb{Z}$-actions, cf. Corollary 14.7 in [19].

Denote by $M((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X)$ the set of $(T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$-invariant probability measures on X. Given $\nu \in M((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X)$, we denote by $h((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X, \nu)$ the corresponding measure-theoretic entropy, see [12, 23, 34] for the definition. There is the following relation between measure-theoretic and topological entropy:

Theorem 2.11 (Variational principle)**.** *We have*

$$h_{top}((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X) = \sup_{\nu\in M((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X)} h((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X, \nu).$$

Remark 2.12. For the first time Theorem 2.11 was proved in [37] under some restrictions. See also [14] for the variational principle for topological pressure and [41] for the variational principle for entropy of $\mathbb{R}^d$-actions.

Remark 2.13. For a finite alphabet $\mathcal{A}$, every subshift $((S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X)$ of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ has at least one measure of maximal entropy [31].

Theorem 2.14 (Pointwise Ergodic Theorem)**.** *Let* $\nu \in M((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X)$ *be ergodic and let* $f \in L^1(X, \nu)$*. Then, for* ν*-a.e.* $x \in X$*,*

$$\frac{1}{|F_n|}\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in F_n} f(T_{\mathbf{m}}x) \to \int_X f\ d\nu \tag{2.12}$$

for any tempered Følner sequence $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$*.*

Remark 2.15. In [27], Theorem 2.14 is proved in the more general case of discrete amenable groups, see also [44] and the earlier works [45, 7, 15].

Remark 2.16. If $((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X)$ is uniquely ergodic (i.e. $|M((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X)| = 1$) then (2.12) holds for every continuous function f, at every point x, along every Følner sequence $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$. The proof goes along the same lines as in the classical case of $\mathbb{Z}$-actions, cf. [43]. (Since every Følner sequence has a tempered subsequence, as shown in [27], we can drop the restriction that $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is tempered, present in Theorem 2.14.)

Remark 2.17. It follows by the definition of entropy of $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions that

$$h((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X, \nu) = \int h((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X, \nu_y)\ dQ(y),$$

where $\nu = \int \nu_y\ dQ(y)$ is the ergodic decomposition of $\nu \in M((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}, X)$.

2.8 Proximality

Let $(T_g)_{g\in\mathbb{G}}$ be an action of a non-compact, locally compact Abelian group $\mathbb{G}$ by homeomorphisms on a compact metric space (X, d).

Definition 2.7. $(T_g)_{g\in\mathbb{G}}$ is called *proximal* if, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\liminf_{g\to\infty} d(T_g x, T_g y) = 0.$$

Remark 2.18. If $((T_g)_{g\in\mathbb{G}}, X)$ is proximal then it has a unique fixed point $x_0 \in X$ (i.e. $T_g x_0 = x_0$ for each $g \in \mathbb{G}$). Moreover, $\{x_0\}$ is the only minimal subset of X.

Definition 2.8. $S \subseteq \mathbb{G}$ is called *syndetic* whenever there exists a compact set K such that $K + S = \mathbb{G}$.

To verify proximality, we will use the following well-known result:

Proposition 2.19 (cf. Theorem 19 in [33] for $\mathbb{Z}$-actions)**.** *Suppose that* $T_g x_0 = x_0$ *for each* $g \in \mathbb{G}$*. Then the following are equivalent:*

- *for any $x, y \in X$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $\{g \in \mathbb{G} : d(T_g x, T_g y) < \varepsilon\}$ is syndetic,*
- *for any $x \in X$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $\{g \in \mathbb{G} : d(T_g x, x_0) < \varepsilon\}$ is syndetic.*

In particular, if the above conditions hold, then $(T_g)_{g\in\mathbb{G}}$ is proximal.

Remark 2.20. Notice that the product topology on $\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ is metrizable. Let $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a nested Følner sequence. In any metric inducing the product topology, we have the following characterization of convergence of a sequence $(x_m)_{m\geq 1}$ to $x \in \{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$:

$$x_m \to x \iff \forall_{n\geq 1}\exists_{m_n}\forall_{m>m_n}\forall_{a\in F_n}\ x_m(a) = x(a).$$

In particular, this happens for d given by

$$d(x,y) = \min\left\{1, 2^{-\max\{n\geq 1: x(a)=y(a) \text{ for each } a\in F_n\}}\right\}.$$

2.9 Topological joinings

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a countable group and let $(T_g)_{g\in\mathbb{G}}$ and $(S_g)_{g\in\mathbb{G}}$ be actions by homeomorphism on compact metric spaces X and Y respectively.

Definition 2.9 ([18])**.** We say that $A \subseteq X\times Y$ is a *(topological) joining* of $((T_g)_{g\in\mathbb{G}}, X)$ and $((S_g)_{g\in\mathbb{G}}, Y)$ whenever A is closed, invariant under $(T_g \times S_g)_{g\in\mathbb{G}}$ and has full projections on both coordinates. We say that the joining A is non-trivial if $\emptyset \subsetneq A \subsetneq X \times Y$.

3 Statements and proofs for $\mathfrak{B}$-free integers

3.1 Statements

We are now ready to state our main results in their full form.

Theorem A. *We have the following:*

(i) The Mirsky measure ν_η is invariant under $(S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$, and η is generic for ν_η.

(ii) The dynamical systems $((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, X_{\mathfrak{B}}, \nu_\eta)$ and $((T_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, G, \mathbb{P})$ are measure-theoretically isomorphic. In particular, $((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, X_{\mathfrak{B}}, \nu_\eta)$ is of zero Kolmogorov entropy.

Theorem B. $h_{top}((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, X_{\mathfrak{B}}) = \prod_{\ell\geqslant 1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right)$.

Theorem C. $X_\eta = X_{\mathfrak{B}}$.

Theorem D. *$((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, X_{\mathfrak{B}})$ is proximal and $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is the unique minimal subset of $X_{\mathfrak{B}}$.*[2]

Theorem E. *$((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, X_{\mathfrak{B}})$ has a non-trivial joining with $((T_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, G)$.*

Since $((T_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, G)$ is minimal and distal,[3] it follows by Theorem E and by Theorem II.3 in [18] that $((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, X_{\mathfrak{B}})$ fails to be topologically weakly mixing: its Cartesian square is not transitive. On the other hand, Theorem D implies that the maximal equicontinuous factor of $((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, X_{\mathfrak{B}})$ is trivial.

---

[2] $\mathbf{0} \in X_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is defined as $\mathbf{0}(a) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

[3] Recall that $(T_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$ is said to be *distal* whenever $\inf_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K} d(T_a x, T_a y) > 0$ for $x \neq y$.

3.2 Proof of Theorem A (i)

Notice first that

$$S_a \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ T_a \text{ for each } a \in \mathcal{O}_K. \tag{3.1}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \circ T_a(g)(b) = 1 &\iff (T_a(g))_\ell + b \not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell \text{ for each } \ell \geq 1 \\ &\iff g_\ell + a + b \not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell \text{ for each } \ell \geq 1 \\ &\iff \varphi(g)(b+a) = 1 \\ &\iff S_a \circ \varphi(g)(b) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the Mirsky measure ν_η is invariant under $(S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$.

We will now prove that η is generic for ν_η. The main idea here comes from the proof of Theorem 4.1. in [2]. In view of Remark 2.9, we only need to show that

$$\frac{1}{|F_n|}\sum_{a\in F_n} 1\!\!1_{C_B^0}(S_a\eta) = \frac{1}{|F_n|}\sum_{a\in F_n} 1\!\!1_{\varphi^{-1}(C_B^0)}(T_a\underline{0}) \to \nu_\eta(C_B^0) = \mathbb{P}(\varphi^{-1}(C_B^0)) \tag{3.2}$$

for each finite set $B \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ and each Følner sequence $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$ (in the left equality we use the definition of η and (3.1)). We have

$$\varphi^{-1}(C_B^0) = \bigcap_{b\in B} T_{-b}(\varphi^{-1}(C_0^0)) = \bigcap_{b\in B} T_{-b}C^c, \tag{3.3}$$

where C is as in (2.9), i.e. $C = \varphi^{-1}(C_0^1)$. Moreover, for each $L \geq 1$,

$$\bigcap_{b\in B} T_{-b}C_L^c \subseteq \bigcap_{b\in B} T_{-b}C^c \subseteq \bigcap_{b\in B} T_{-b}C_L^c \cup \bigcup_{b\in B} T_{-b}(C^c \setminus C_L^c), \tag{3.4}$$

where $C_L := \{g \in G : g_\ell \not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell \text{ for each } 1 \leq \ell \leq L\}$. Since each C_L is clopen, it follows that the function $1\!\!1_{\bigcap_{b\in B} T_{-b}C_L^c}$ is continuous. Thus, applying Remark 2.5 and Remark 2.16, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|F_n|}\sum_{a\in F_n} 1\!\!1_{\bigcap_{b\in B} T_{-b}C_L^c}(T_a\underline{0}) \to \mathbb{P}(\bigcap_{b\in B} T_{-b}C_L^c) \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover, given $\varepsilon > 0$, for L sufficiently large,

$$\mathbb{P}(\bigcap_{b\in B} T_{-b}C_L^c) \geq \mathbb{P}(\bigcap_{b\in B} T_{-b}C^c) - \varepsilon \tag{3.6}$$

and

(3.7)
$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\sum_{a\in F_n} 1\!\!1_{\bigcup_{b\in B} T_{-b}(C^c\setminus C_L^c)}(T_a\underline{0}) &\leq |B|\limsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\sum_{a\in F_n} 1\!\!1_{(C^c\setminus C_L^c)}(T_a\underline{0}) \\ \leq |B|\sum_{\ell>L}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\sum_{a\in F_n} 1\!\!1_{\{g\in G: g_\ell\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell\}}(T_a\underline{0}) &= |B|\sum_{\ell>L}\frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we conclude that (3.2) indeed holds, and the proof of Theorem A (i) is complete.

3.3 Proof of Theorem C

We begin this section by the following simple observation which yields one of the inclusions in the assertion of Theorem C:

Lemma 3.1. *$\varphi(G) \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{B}}$. In particular, $X_\eta \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and $\nu_\eta(X_{\mathfrak{B}}) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $a \in \text{supp } \varphi(g)$, i.e. $g_\ell + a \not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell$ for each $\ell \geq 1$. In other words, $a \not\equiv -g_\ell \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell$, which yields $-g_\ell \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell \notin \text{supp } \varphi(g)/\mathfrak{b}_\ell$ for each $\ell \geq 1$. □

The proof of the other inclusion $X_{\mathscr{B}} \subseteq X_\eta$ is a bit more involved. It is an immediate consequence of Theorem A (i) and the following result:

Proposition 3.2 (cf. Proposition 2.5. in [2])**.** *Let $A, B \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ be finite and disjoint. The following are equivalent:*

- *(i) A is $\mathfrak{B}$-admissible,*
- *(ii) $\nu_\eta(C_A^1) > 0$,*
- *(iii) $\nu_\eta(C_{A,B}) > 0$.*

Before giving the proof, let us point out that we obtain the following corollary as another immediate consequence of Theorem A (i) and Proposition 3.2:

Corollary 3.3. *The topological support of ν_η is the subshift $X_{\mathfrak{B}}$ of $\mathfrak{B}$-admissible sequences.*

For the proof of Proposition 3.2, we will need two lemmas.

Lemma 3.4. *For any finite set $A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$, we have $\nu_\eta(C_A^1) = \prod_{\ell \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{D(\mathfrak{b}_\ell|A)}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right)$.*

Proof. For each finite $A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_\eta(C_A^1) &= \varphi_*(\mathbb{P})(C_A^1) = \mathbb{P}(\varphi^{-1}(C_A^1)) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{\ell \geq 1} \{g \in G : g_\ell + a \not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell \text{ for } a \in A\}\right) = \prod_{\ell \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{D(\mathfrak{b}_\ell|A)}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.5. It follows from Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 2.8 that

$$\nu_\eta(C_{A,B}) = \sum_{A \subseteq D \subseteq A \cup B} (-1)^{|D \setminus A|} \prod_{\ell \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{D(\mathfrak{b}_\ell|A)}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right)$$

for each pair $A, B \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ of finite disjoint sets.

Lemma 3.6. *If $\{\mathfrak{a}_\ell : \ell \geq 1\}$ is an infinite collection of pairwise coprime (proper) ideals then $\bigcap_{\ell \geq 1} \mathfrak{a}_\ell = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{0\} \neq \mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{\ell \geq 1} \mathfrak{a}_\ell$. For any $L \geq 1$ we have

$$\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \bigcap_{\ell=1}^{L} \mathfrak{a}_\ell = \prod_{\ell=1}^{L} \mathfrak{a}_\ell,$$

i.e. there exists an ideal $\mathfrak{c}_L$ such that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c}_L \prod_{\ell=1}^{L} \mathfrak{a}_\ell$. Since $\{\mathfrak{a}_\ell : \ell \geq 1\}$ are pairwise coprime, it follows that for each $L \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{a}$ has at least L distinct prime factors, which is impossible. □

Proof of Proposition 3.2. By Theorem A (i), (iii) implies (i). Fix a finite admissible set $A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$. By Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$\nu_\eta(C_A^1) = \prod_{\ell \geq 1}\left(1 - \frac{D(\mathfrak{b}_\ell|A)}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right) > 0 \iff \sum_{\ell\geq 1} \frac{D(\mathfrak{b}_\ell|A)}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)} < \infty \iff \sum_{\ell \geq 1}\frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)} < \infty,$$

whence (i) implies (ii).

It remains to show that (ii) implies (iii). Fix finite disjoint sets A, B. It follows by Lemma 3.6 that there exists $L \geq 1$ such that $a \equiv b \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell$ has no solution in $a \in A$, $b \in B$ for $\ell > L$. Let $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_r\}$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned}&\{g \in G : \forall 1 \leq j \leq r,\ g_{L+j} + b_j \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{b}_{L+j}\}\\ &\quad \cap \{g \in G : \forall \ell \notin \{L+1, \ldots, L+r\}\ \forall a \in A,\ g_\ell + a \not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell\} \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(C_{A,B})\end{aligned}$$

(the inclusion follows by the choice of L). The left-hand side of the above formula is an intersection of two independent events in $(G, \mathbb{P})$. The first of them has probability $\prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{b}_{L+j})} > 0$, and the second contains $\varphi^{-1}(C_A^1)$, therefore has also positive probability. □

Remark 3.7. An immediate consequence of Theorem C is that X_η is hereditary.

3.4 Proof of Theorem B (and beyond)

The main purpose of this section is to prove Theorem B. However, we will not only compute the topological entropy of $((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, X_{\mathfrak{B}})$, but also of its restriction to some natural invariant subsets of $X_{\mathfrak{B}}$. This will be crucial later, in the proof of Theorem A (ii).

For $s_\ell \geq 1$, $\ell \geq 1$, let $\underline{s} := (s_\ell)_{\ell\geq 1}$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\underline{s}} &:= \{x \in X_{\mathfrak{B}} : D(\mathfrak{b}_\ell|\text{supp } x) = N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell) - s_\ell \text{ for } \ell \geq 1\},\\ Y_{\geq \underline{s}} &:= \{x \in X_{\mathfrak{B}} : D(\mathfrak{b}_\ell|\text{supp } x) \leqslant N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell) - s_\ell \text{ for } \ell \geq 1\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

For $\underline{s} = (1, 1, \ldots)$ we will simply write Y instead of $Y_{\underline{s}}$. Notice that we have

$$X_{\mathfrak{B}} = \bigcup_{s_\ell \geq 1, \ell\geq 1} Y_{\underline{s}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Remark 3.8 (cf. Remark 2.7)**.** Notice that each $Y_{\geq\underline{s}} \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is closed and invariant under $(S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$. Moreover, $\overline{Y_{\underline{s}}} \subseteq Y_{\geq \underline{s}}$.

Fix a Følner sequence $(F_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$. For each choice of $\underline{s} = (s_\ell)_{\ell\geq 1}$, let

$$\mathcal{F}_n^{\geq \underline{s}} := \{W \subseteq F_n : D(\mathfrak{b}_\ell|W) \leqslant N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell) - s_\ell \text{ for } \ell \geq 1\}$$

and let $\gamma^{\geq \underline{s}}(n)$ denote the cardinality of $\mathcal{F}_n^{\geq \underline{s}}$. In particular, $\gamma^{\geq \underline{1}}(n)$, where $\underline{1} = (1, 1, \ldots)$, denotes the number of $\mathfrak{B}$-admissible subsets of F_n. Moreover, given $L \geq 1$, let $\underline{s}_L := (s_1, \ldots, s_L)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,L}^{\geq \underline{s}_L} := \{W \subseteq F_n : D(\mathfrak{b}_\ell|W) \leqslant N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell) - s_\ell \text{ for } 1 \leq \ell \leq L\}$$

and let $\gamma_L^{\geq \underline{s}_L}(n)$ be the cardinality of $\mathcal{F}_{n,L}^{\geq \underline{s}_L}$. In particular, $\gamma_L^{\geq \underline{1}_L}(n)$, where $\underline{1}_L = \underbrace{(1,1\ldots,1)}_{L}$, denotes the number of $\mathfrak{B}_L$-admissible subsets of F_n, where $\mathfrak{B}_L = \{\mathfrak{b}_\ell : 1 \leq \ell \leq L\}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_L$-admissibility is defined in a similar way as $\mathfrak{B}$-admissibility. Clearly,

$$\gamma^{\geq \underline{s}}(n) \leqslant \gamma_L^{\geq \underline{s}_L}(n) \text{ for each } n \geq 1, L \geq 1.$$

Moreover, given $n \geq 1$, $\gamma_L^{\geq \underline{s}_L}(n)$ decreases to $\gamma^{\geq \underline{s}}(n)$, and

$$\gamma^{\geq \underline{s}}(n) = \gamma_{L(n)}^{\geq \underline{s}_{L(n)}}(n) \tag{3.10}$$

for some $L(n) \geq 1$.

Finally, for each choice of $\emptyset \neq A_\ell \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{b}_\ell$, let

$$Z_L = Z_L(A_1, \ldots, A_L) = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_K : x \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell \notin A_\ell : 1 \leq \ell \leq L\}.$$

Notice that, for each $n \geq 1$, $F_n \setminus Z_L \in \mathcal{F}_{n,L}^{\geq \underline{s}_L}$ such that $s_\ell = |A_\ell|$, $1 \leq \ell \leq L$. In particular, $F_n \setminus Z_L$ is $\mathfrak{B}_L$-admissible.

Lemma 3.9. *For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large*

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^{L}\left(1 - \frac{s_\ell}{N(b_\ell)}\right) - \varepsilon < \frac{|F_n \setminus Z_L|}{|F_n|} < \prod_{\ell=1}^{L}\left(1 - \frac{s_\ell}{N(b_\ell)}\right) + \varepsilon. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. Recall that $G_L = \prod_{\ell=1}^{L} \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{b}_\ell$ and put

$$D_L := \{g \in G_L : g_\ell \notin A_\ell \text{ for } 1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant L\}.$$

Since $\mathbb{1}_{D_L}$ is continuous (D_L is clopen), it follows by the unique ergodicity of the restriction of $(T_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$ to the first L coordinates of G, i.e. to G_L, that

$$\frac{1}{|F_n|}\sum_{a\in F_n} \mathbb{1}_{D_L}(T_a(\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{L})) \to \mathbb{P}(D_L) = \prod_{\ell=1}^{L}\left(1 - \frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right)$$

(cf. Remark 2.16). Moreover,

$$\mathbb{1}_{D_L}(T_a(0,\ldots,0)) = 1 \iff a \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell \notin A_\ell \text{ for } 1 \leqslant l \leqslant L \iff a \notin Z_L,$$

whence

$$\sum_{a\in F_n} \mathbb{1}_{D_L}(T_a(0,\ldots,0)) = |F_n \setminus Z_L|,$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.10. *For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large*

$$2^{|F_n|\left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{L}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right)-\varepsilon\right)} \leqslant \gamma_L^{\geq \underline{s}_L}(n) \leqslant \prod_{\ell=1}^{L}\binom{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}{s_\ell} \cdot 2^{|F_n|\left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{L}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right)+\varepsilon\right)}.$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be sufficiently large, so that (3.11) holds. The following procedure yields all elements of $\mathcal{F}_{n,L}^{\geq s_L}$:

(a) choose $A_\ell \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{b}_\ell$ with $|A_\ell| = s_\ell$, $1 \leq \ell \leq L$,

(b) choose $W \subseteq F_n \setminus Z_L$, where $Z_L = Z_L(A_1, \dots, A_L)$

(some elements of $\mathcal{F}_{n,L}^{\geq s_L}$ can be obtained in more than one way). It follows from Lemma 3.9 that once we have fixed $A_1, \dots, A_L$ in step (a), then the number of distinct elements of $\mathcal{F}_{n,L}^{\geq s_L}$ obtained in step (b) can be estimated from below and from above by

$$2^{|F_n|\left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{L}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right)-\varepsilon\right)} \text{ and } 2^{|F_n|\left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{L}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right)+\varepsilon\right)},$$

respectively. Moreover, there are $\prod_{\ell=1}^{L}\binom{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}{s_\ell}$ possible choices in step (a), which completes the proof. ☐

For the further discussion, we will use a particular Følner sequence. Let

$$\iota\colon \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathcal{O}_K \text{ be a group isomorphism} \tag{3.12}$$

(recall that $\mathcal{O}_K$ is isomorphic to a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^d$ via the Minkowski embedding, and any two lattices in $\mathbb{R}^d$ are isomorphic). Let $(H_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ be the Følner sequence defined in the following way:

$$H_n := \{x \in \mathcal{O}_K : \forall_{1\leqslant t\leqslant d}\ |\pi_t(\iota^{-1}(x))| \leqslant n\}, \tag{3.13}$$

where $\pi_t\colon \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the projection onto the t-th coordinate.

Lemma 3.11. *For the Følner sequence $(H_n)_{n\geq 1}$ defined in (3.13), we have*

$$\gamma_L^{\geq s_L}(nm) \leqslant \gamma_L^{\geq s_L}(n)^{m^d} \tag{3.14}$$

for any $n, m, L \geq 1$.

Proof. We have $H_{nm} = \bigcap_{t=1}^{d}\bigcup_{j_t=1}^{m} H_{nm}^{j_t} = \bigcup_{j_1,\dots,j_d=1}^{m}\bigcap_{t=1}^{d} H_{nm}^{j_t}$, where

$$H_{nm}^{j_t} = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_K : n(2j_t - m - 1) - n \leqslant \pi_t(\iota^{-1}(x)) \leqslant n(2j_t - m - 1) + n\}.$$

For $j_1, \dots, j_d \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let $u_t := 2j_t - m - 1$, $1 \leq t \leq d$. Then, since ι is an isomorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \bigcap_{t=1}^{d} H_{nm}^{j_t} &\iff nu_t - n \leq \pi_t(\iota^{-1}(x)) \leq nu_t + n \text{ for } 1 \leq t \leq d \\ &\iff -n \leq \pi_t(\iota^{-1}(x - \iota(nu_1, \dots, nu_d))) \leq n \text{ for } 1 \leq t \leq d \\ &\iff x - \iota(nu_1, \dots, nu_d) \in H_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\bigcap_{t=1}^{d} H_{nm}^{j_t} = H_n + \iota(nu_1, \dots, nu_d).$$

Since the number of subsets $W \subseteq H_n + \iota(nu_1, \dots, nu_d)$ satisfying $D(\mathfrak{b}_\ell|W) \leqslant N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell) - s_\ell, 1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant L$ is equal to $\gamma_L^{\geq s_L}(n)$, we conclude that (3.14) indeed holds. ☐

Theorem 3.12. $h_{top}((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, Y_{\geq \underline{s}}) = \prod\limits_{\ell\geq 1}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right)$.

Proof. We will use the Følner sequence $(H_n)_{n\geq 1}$ for calculation. We need to prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|H_n|}\log_2\gamma^{\geq \underline{s}}(n) = \prod_{\ell\geqslant 1}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right) \tag{3.15}$$

(cf. Remark 2.10).

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let L be sufficiently large so that $\prod\limits_{\ell=1}^{L}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right) < \prod\limits_{\ell\geqslant 1}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right) + \varepsilon$. Then for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, by Lemma 3.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|H_n|}\log_2\gamma^{\geq \underline{s}}(n) &\leqslant \frac{1}{|H_n|}\log_2\gamma_L^{\geq \underline{s}_L}(n)\\ &\leqslant \prod_{\ell=1}^{L}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right)+\varepsilon+\frac{1}{|H_n|}\log_2\prod_{\ell=1}^{L}\binom{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}{s_\ell}\\ &\leqslant \prod_{\ell\geq 1}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right)+2\varepsilon+\frac{1}{|H_n|}\log_2\prod_{\ell=1}^{L}\binom{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}{s_\ell}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ can be arbitrarily small, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|H_n|}\log_2\gamma^{\geq \underline{s}}(n) \leqslant \prod_{\ell\geqslant 1}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right). \tag{3.16}$$

Fix $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and let $L(n)$ be as in (3.10). Then, by Lemma 3.11, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|H_n|}\log_2\gamma^{\geq \underline{s}}(n) &= \frac{1}{|H_n|}\log_2\gamma_{L(n)}^{\geq \underline{s}_{L(n)}}(n)\\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{|H_n|}\frac{1}{m^d}\log_2\gamma_{L(n)}^{\geq \underline{s}_{L(n)}}(nm).\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Moreover, it follows from Lemma 3.10 that for all $m \geq M$ (where M depends on n) we have

$$\frac{1}{|H_{nm}|}\log_2\gamma_{L(n)}^{\geq \underline{s}_{L(n)}}(nm) \geqslant \prod_{l=1}^{L(n)}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_l)}\right)-\varepsilon. \tag{3.18}$$

Using (3.17) and (3.18), we conclude that, for $m \geq M$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|H_n|}\log_2\gamma^{\geq \underline{s}}(n) &\geq \frac{1}{|H_n|}\frac{|H_{nm}|}{m^d}\left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{L(n)}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right)-\varepsilon\right)\\ &= \frac{(2nm+1)^d}{m^d(2n+1)^d}\left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{L(n)}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right)-\varepsilon\right)\\ &\geqslant \frac{(2nm+1)^d}{m^d(2n+1)^d}\left(\prod_{\ell\geqslant 1}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right)-\varepsilon\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ can be arbitrarily small and m arbitrarily large, we obtain

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|H_n|}\log_2 \gamma^{\geq \underline{s}}(n) \geqslant \prod_{\ell\geqslant 1}\left(1-\frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right). \tag{3.19}$$

It follows from (3.16) and (3.19) that (3.15) indeed holds, and the proof is complete. □

Theorem B is clearly just a special case of Theorem 3.12.

3.5 Proof of Theorem A (ii)

The proof of Theorem A (ii) consists of two main steps, which might themselves be of an interest:

Proposition 3.13. *Any measure of maximal entropy for* $((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, X_{\mathfrak{B}})$ *is concentrated on* Y*.*

Proposition 3.14. $\nu_\eta(Y) = 1$.

Remark 3.15. An almost direct consequence of Proposition 3.14 is that $\eta \in Y$. Indeed, by (3.9), $\eta \in Y_{\underline{s}}$ for some $\underline{s} = (s_\ell)_{\ell\geq 1}$ such that $s_\ell \geq 1$, $\ell \geq 1$. Moreover, by Theorem A (i) and Remark 3.8, we obtain

$$1 = \nu_\eta(\overline{Y}_{\underline{s}}) \leq \nu_\eta(Y_{\geq \underline{s}}).$$

This contradicts Proposition 3.14, since $Y_{\geq\underline{s}} \cap Y = \emptyset$.

One of the crucial tools will be the function $\theta\colon Y \to G$ given, for $y \in Y$, by

$$\theta(y) = g \iff \text{supp } y \cap (\mathfrak{b}_\ell - g_\ell) = \emptyset \text{ for each } \ell \geq 1, \tag{3.20}$$

where $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots)$. Notice that

$$T_a \circ \theta = \theta \circ S_a \text{ for } a \in \mathcal{O}_K. \tag{3.21}$$

Indeed, take $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$, $y \in Y$ and let $g := \theta(y)$. Then

$$T_a(\theta(y)) = T_a(g) = (g_1 + a, g_2 + a, \dots).$$

By the definition of S_a we have $\text{supp } S_a y = \text{supp } y - a$. Hence, by the definition of θ, $(\text{supp } y - a) \cap (\mathfrak{b}_\ell - (g_\ell + a)) = \emptyset$ for each $\ell \geq 1$. This yields (3.21).

Before giving the proofs of Proposition 3.13 and Proposition 3.14, we show how to derive Theorem A (ii) from them.

Proof of Theorem A (ii). In view of Proposition 3.14, we can consider φ as a map whose codomain is Y, i.e. $\varphi\colon G \to Y$. Moreover, $\theta\colon Y \to \theta(Y) \subseteq G$. By (3.1) and (3.21), we have

$$(\theta\circ\varphi)\circ T_a = T_a \circ (\theta\circ\varphi) \text{ for each } a \in \mathcal{O}_K.$$

It follows by coalescence of $(T_a)_{a\in G}$ that $\theta\circ\varphi$ is a.e. invertible.[4] In particular, φ is 1-1 a.e., i.e. φ yields the required isomorphism. □

---

[4] An automorphism T of $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is called *coalescent* [20] if each endomorphism commuting with T is invertible. All ergodic automorphisms with purely discrete spectrum are coalescent. Both the definition and this fact extend to countable group actions.

Proof of Proposition 3.13. Let ν be a measure of maximal entropy for $((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, X_{\mathfrak{B}})$ (cf. Remark 2.12). By Theorem 3.12, we have

$$h((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, X_{\mathfrak{B}}, \nu) = h_{top}((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, X_{\mathfrak{B}}) = \prod_{\ell\geq 1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right). \tag{3.22}$$

Suppose additionally that ν is ergodic. We claim that

$$\nu(Y_{\underline{s}}) = 1 \text{ for some } \underline{s} = (s_\ell)_{\ell\geq 1}. \tag{3.23}$$

Indeed, let, for $\ell \geq 1$, $c_\ell \colon X_{\mathfrak{B}} \to \mathbb{N}$ be the measurable function given by

$$c_\ell(x) = N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell) - D(\mathfrak{b}_\ell|\text{supp } x).$$

Then, for any $\ell \geq 1$, we have $X_{\mathfrak{B}} = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)} Y_k(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)$, where $Y_k(\mathfrak{b}_\ell) = \{x \in X_{\mathfrak{B}} : c_\ell(x) = k\}$. Since $Y_k(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)$ are invariant and pairwise disjoint for a given $\ell \geq 1$, it follows by the ergodicity of ν that there exists a unique $1 \leq s_\ell \leq N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)$ such that $\nu(Y_{s_\ell}(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)) = 1$. This yields (3.23). Since $Y_{\underline{s}} \subseteq Y_{\geq\underline{s}}$, it follows immediately that

$$\nu(Y_{\geq\underline{s}}) = 1$$

for the same choice of $\underline{s}$ as in (3.23). By the variational principle (see Theorem 2.11) and Theorem 3.12,

$$h((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, X_{\mathfrak{B}}, \nu) \leq h_{top}((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, Y_{\geq\underline{s}}) = \prod_{\ell\geq 1}\left(1 - \frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right). \tag{3.24}$$

Comparing (3.22) and (3.24), we conclude that

$$\prod_{\ell\geq 1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right) \leq \prod_{\ell\geq 1}\left(1 - \frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right).$$

This is however true only if $s_\ell = 1$ for all $\ell \geq 1$, whence indeed $\nu(Y) = 1$.

If ν is not ergodic, we write its ergodic decomposition. It follows by Remark 2.17 that almost every measure in this decomposition is also of maximal entropy, whence it is concentrated on Y. Thus also $\nu(Y) = 1$. □

Proof of Proposition 3.14. We will show that

$$\nu_\eta(\varphi(\theta(Y))) = 1 \tag{3.25}$$

and

$$\varphi(\theta(Y)) \subseteq Y, \tag{3.26}$$

and the assertion will follow immediately. Let ν be an invariant measure concentrated on Y (in view of Proposition 3.13, we can take for ν any measure of maximal entropy).

For (3.25), notice first that (3.21) and Remark 2.5 yield $\theta_*(\nu) = \mathbb{P}$. Therefore and by Proposition 3.13,

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_\eta(\varphi(\theta(Y))) = \mathbb{P}(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\theta(Y)))) \geq \mathbb{P}(\theta(Y))&\\ = \theta_*\nu(\theta(Y)) = \nu(\theta^{-1}(\theta(Y))) \geq \nu(Y) = 1,&\end{aligned}$$

i.e. (3.25) indeed holds. We will now show (3.26), by proving

$$y \leq \varphi(\theta(y)) \text{ for each } y \in Y. \tag{3.27}$$

Take $y \in Y$ and suppose that $\varphi(\theta(y))(a) = 0$. By the definition of φ, this means that for some $\ell \geq 1$ we have

$$\theta(y)_\ell + a \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell.$$

In other words, $\theta(y)_\ell + a \in \mathfrak{b}_\ell$, i.e. $a \in \mathfrak{b}_\ell - \theta(y)_\ell$. It follows from (3.20) that $y(a) = 0$. This yields (3.27) and the proof is complete. □

3.6 Proof of Theorem D

Proximality Let $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a nested Følner sequence in $\mathcal{O}_K$. In view of Proposition 2.19, it suffices to show that for any $x \in X_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$S := \{a \in \mathcal{O}_K : S_a x|_{F_n} \equiv 0\} = \{a \in \mathcal{O}_K : x|_{a+F_n} \equiv 0\} \text{ is syndetic.}$$

Fix $x \in X_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and $n \geq 1$. It follows by Remark 3.7 that for some $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$, we have $\eta|_{a+F_n} \equiv 0$. Moreover, by the definition of η, there exist $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s$ ($s = |F_n|$) such that

$$\eta|_{a+\mathfrak{b}+F_n} \equiv 0,$$

where $\mathfrak{b} = \prod_{1\leq i\leq s} \mathfrak{b}_{\ell_s}$. In particular,

$$a + \mathfrak{b} \subseteq S. \tag{3.28}$$

We claim that there exists $m \geq 1$ such that for each $c \in \mathcal{O}_K$ there exists $b_c \in \mathfrak{b}$ such that

$$c + F_m \supseteq a + b_c + F_n. \tag{3.29}$$

Indeed, let $C \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ be a finite set such that $C/\mathfrak{b} = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{b}$, and let $m \geq 1$ be such that for any $c \in C$ there exists $b_c \in \mathfrak{b}$ satisfying (3.29). Each $c \in \mathcal{O}_K$ is of the form $c = b' + c'$, where $b' \in \mathfrak{b}$, $c' \in C$. It follows that

$$c + F_m = b' + c' + F_m \supseteq b' + a + b_{c'} + F_n,$$

where $b_{c'} \in \mathfrak{b}$. It follows that (3.29) holds for c with $b_c = b' + b_{c'} \in \mathfrak{b}$.

We will now show that S is indeed syndetic. Take $c \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and fix $f \in F_n$. Using (3.29), we obtain, for some $g \in F_m$,

$$c + g = a + b_c + f.$$

Therefore, using (3.28), we conclude that

$$c = a + b_c + f - g \in S + f - F_m,$$

which completes the proof since $f - F_m$ is finite.

Unique minimal subset Since $\mathbf{0} \in X_{\mathfrak{B}}$, defined as $\mathbf{0}(a) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$, is a fixed point for $(S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$, it follows by Remark 2.18 and by proximality that $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is the unique minimal subset of $X_{\mathfrak{B}}$.

3.7 Proof of Theorem E

For $x \in X_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and $\ell \geqslant 1$ let

$$F_\ell(x) := \{c \bmod \mathfrak{b}_\ell \colon x|_{-c+\mathfrak{b}_\ell} \equiv 0\}.$$

Then $F := (F_1, F_2, \dots)$ defines a multivalued function $F \colon X_{\mathfrak{B}} \to G$. Let

$$A := cl(\mathrm{Graph}(F)).$$

We claim that

(i) $(S_a \times T_a)(A) = A$ for each $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$,

(ii) $\pi_{X_{\mathfrak{B}}}(A) = X_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and $\pi_G(A) = G$, where $\pi_{X_{\mathfrak{B}}}$ and π_G stand for the corresponding projections,

(iii) $A \neq X_{\mathfrak{B}} \times G$.

In order to prove (i), it suffices to show $F \circ S_a = T_a \circ F$. Indeed, for $(x, \omega) \in X_\eta \times G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \in F(S_a x) &\iff S_a x|_{-\omega_\ell+\mathfrak{b}_\ell} \equiv 0 \text{ for all } \ell \geq 1 \\ &\iff x|_{a-\omega_\ell+\mathfrak{b}_\ell} \equiv 0 \text{ for all } \ell \geq 1 \\ &\iff T_{-a}\omega \in F(x) \iff \omega \in T_a(F(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\pi_{X_{\mathfrak{B}}}(A) = X_{\mathfrak{B}}$. Moreover, we have $F(\mathbf{0}) = G$. This yields (ii). For the last part of our claim consider $x \in X_{\mathfrak{B}}$ such that $x(0) = 1$ and $x(a) = 0$ for $a \neq 0$. Notice that for all $\ell \geqslant 1$ we have $0 \notin F_\ell(x)$, whence

$$F(x) \subseteq \prod_{\ell \geqslant 1} \left((\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{b}_\ell) \setminus \{0\} \right).$$

Moreover, if $y \in X_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is such that $d(x, y)$ is small enough then $y(0) = x(0) = 1$, which yields

$$F(y) \subseteq \prod_{\ell \geqslant 1} \left((\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{b}_\ell) \setminus \{0\} \right).$$

It follows that $(x, \omega) \notin A$, whenever $\omega_\ell = 0$ for some $\ell \geq 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem E.

4 From $\mathfrak{B}$-free integers to $\mathscr{B}$-free lattice points

Clearly, (II) is a special case of (IV). Moreover, (III) is a special case of (V) since

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p}^k)} \leq \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \neq \{0\}} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{a})^k} = \zeta_K(k) < \infty \text{ for } k \geq 2$$

and in a Dedekind domain any two prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{q}$ are coprime. Our goal is to show now that Sarnak's program (A)-(E) in case (IV) can be easily obtained using the results in setting (V) presented in Section 3. Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree d, with the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$. Fix a lattice Λ in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let

$$j \colon \Lambda \to \mathcal{O}_K \text{ be a group isomorphism}$$

(cf. (3.12)). We consider two actions by translations: $(S_a)_{a \in \mathcal{O}_K}$ on $\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ (see (2.5) for the formula) and $(S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n} \in \Lambda}$ on $\{0,1\}^{\Lambda}$ (defined in a similar way).

Remark 4.1. Notice that $(S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$ on $\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ and $(S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}$ on $\{0,1\}^{\Lambda}$ are two different representations of the same (topological) dynamical system. Indeed, let $S_J\colon \{0,1\}^{\Lambda}\to\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ be given by

$$S_J(x)(a) := x(j^{-1}(a)) \text{ for } a\in\mathcal{O}_K.$$

Then, for each $\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda$, we have

$$S_{j(\mathbf{n})} = S_J\circ S_{\mathbf{n}}\circ S_J^{-1}.$$

Fix an infinite pairwise coprime set $\mathscr{B} := \{b_\ell : \ell\geq 1\}\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\sum_{\ell\geq1}\frac{1}{b_\ell^d}<\infty$. Then each $L_\ell := b_\ell\Lambda$ is a sublattice of Λ and each $\mathfrak{b}_\ell := j(L_\ell)$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_K$. Clearly,

$$\mathfrak{B} := \{\mathfrak{b}_\ell : \ell\geq 1\} \text{ is infinite and } \sum_{\ell\geq1}\frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)} = \sum_{\ell\geq1}\frac{1}{b_\ell^d}<\infty.$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{B}$ is pairwise coprime (it suffices to notice that $a\mathbb{Z}^d+b\mathbb{Z}^d=\mathbb{Z}^d$ whenever $a,b\in\mathbb{N}\setminus\{1\}$ are coprime and use an isomorphism between $\mathcal{O}_K$ and $\mathbb{Z}^d$). Clearly, the set of $\mathscr{B}$-free lattice points in Λ defined as $\mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{B}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{B}}(\Lambda) := \Lambda\setminus\bigcup_{\ell\geq1} b_\ell\Lambda$ satisfies

$$j(\mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{B}}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{B}}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is the corresponding set of $\mathfrak{B}$-free integers (defined as in (2.2)).

Let $H := \prod_{\ell\geq1}\Lambda/b_\ell\Lambda$ and let $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$ stand for Haar measure on H (cf. (2.6)). Notice that this group is isomorphic to G via the map $J\colon H\to G$ given by

$$J(h) = (j(h_1), j(h_2),\dots) \text{ for } h=(h_1,h_2,\dots).$$

On H we have a natural Λ-action $(T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}$:

$$T_{\mathbf{n}}(h) = (h_1+\mathbf{n}, h_2+\mathbf{n},\dots) \text{ for } h=(h_1,h_2,\dots)\in H$$

(cf. (2.7)).[5]

Remark 4.2. Notice that $(T_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}\colon G\to G$ and $(T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}\colon H\to H$ are two different representations of the same (algebraic and topological) dynamical system. Indeed, we have

$$T_{j(\mathbf{n})} = J\circ T_{\mathbf{n}}\circ J^{-1} \text{ for each } \mathbf{n}\in\Lambda. \tag{4.2}$$

Define $\widetilde{\varphi}\colon H\to\{0,1\}^{\Lambda}$ in a similar way as φ in (2.8):

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(h)(\mathbf{n}) = 1 \iff h_\ell+\mathbf{n}\notin L_\ell \text{ for each } \ell\geq1.$$

Remark 4.3. Notice that $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is the function which "corresponds" to φ when we take into account isomorphisms from Remark 4.1 and Remark 4.2. Indeed, we have $\widetilde{\varphi} = S_J^{-1}\circ\varphi\circ J$. It follows that

$$\widetilde{\nu}_\eta := \widetilde{\varphi}_*(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}) = (S_J^{-1}\circ\varphi\circ J)_*(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}) = (S_J^{-1})_*(\nu_\eta).$$

---

[5] Notice that both J and $(T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}$ are well-defined.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem A (i), (4.1) and Remarks 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, we obtain that

$$\widetilde{\eta} := \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{B}}} \text{ is generic for } \widetilde{\nu}_\eta = \widetilde{\varphi}_*(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}). \tag{4.3}$$

Using additionally Theorem A (ii), we conclude that

$$((S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}, \{0,1\}^\Lambda, \widetilde{\nu}_\eta) \text{ is isomorphic to } ((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}, H, \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}). \tag{4.4}$$

Moreover, in view of the above and of Lemma 2.3,

$$\text{the action } ((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}, H, \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}) \text{ is ergodic.} \tag{4.5}$$

In view of (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5), part (A) of Sarnak's program in setting (IV) has been covered.

For each choice of $\underline{s} = (s_\ell)_{\ell\geq 1}$ such that $s_\ell \geq 1$, $\ell \geq 1$, we define $\widetilde{Y}_{\underline{s}} \subseteq \widetilde{Y}_{\geq\underline{s}} \subseteq \{0,1\}^\Lambda$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Y}_{\underline{s}} :=& \{x \in \{0,1\}^\Lambda : |\text{supp } x \bmod L_\ell| = |\Lambda/L_\ell| - s_\ell, \ell \geq 1\}, \\ \widetilde{Y}_{\geq\underline{s}} :=& \{x \in \{0,1\}^\Lambda : |\text{supp } x \bmod L_\ell| \leq |\Lambda/L_\ell| - s_\ell, \ell \geq 1\} \end{aligned}$$

(cf. (3.8)). Notice that

$$|\text{supp } x \bmod L_\ell| = |\text{supp } S_J(x) \bmod j(L_\ell)| \text{ for any } \ell \geq 1.$$

It follows that $S_J(\widetilde{Y}_{\underline{s}}) = Y_{\underline{s}}$ and

$$S_J(\widetilde{Y}_{\geq\underline{s}}) = Y_{\geq\underline{s}}. \tag{4.6}$$

In particular, we have $S_J(X_{\mathscr{B}}) = X_{\mathfrak{B}}$, where $X_{\mathscr{B}} = \widetilde{Y}_{\geq\underline{s}}$, $\underline{s} = (1,1,\ldots)$. Moreover, in view of Remark 4.1 and Remark 3.7, we obtain

$$X_{\widetilde{\eta}} = X_{\mathscr{B}} \tag{4.7}$$

(which covers part (C) of Sarnak's program in setting (IV)). Finally, in view of Remark 4.1 and Remark 3.8, we obtain that

$$\text{each } \widetilde{Y}_{\geq\underline{s}} \text{ is } (S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}\text{-invariant and closed.} \tag{4.8}$$

Using again Remark 4.1, we obtain

$$h((S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}, \nu) = h((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, (S_J)_*(\nu))$$

for each $(S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}$-invariant probability measure ν on $\{0,1\}^\Lambda$ (S_J maps measurable partitions of $\{0,1\}^\Lambda$ to measurable partitions of $\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$). In particular, by the variational principle, we have

$$h_{top}((S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}, Z) = h_{top}((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, S_J(Z))$$

for any closed $(S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}$-invariant set $Z \subseteq \{0,1\}^\Lambda$. Applying this to $Z = \widetilde{Y}_{\geq\underline{s}}$ and using (4.6) and (4.8), and Theorem 3.12, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{top}((S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}, \widetilde{Y}_{\geq\underline{s}}) &= h_{top}((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, Y_{\geq\underline{s}}) \\ &= \prod_{\ell\geq 1}\left(1 - \frac{s_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{b}_\ell)}\right) = \prod_{\ell\geq 1}\left(1 - \frac{s_\ell}{b_\ell^d}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$h_{top}((S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}, X_{\mathscr{B}}) = \prod_{\ell\geq 1}\left(1-\frac{1}{b_\ell^d}\right),$$

which covers part (B) of Sarnak's program in setting (IV). Using once again Remark 4.1, we obtain that

$$((S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}, Z) \text{ is proximal } \iff ((S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}, S_J(Z)) \text{ is proximal} \tag{4.9}$$

for any closed, $(S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}$-invariant set $Z\subseteq\{0,1\}^\Lambda$. By Theorem D, (4.9) for $Z=X_{\mathscr{B}}$, and (4.7), we conclude that

> $((S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}, X_{\mathscr{B}})$ is proximal, in particular, its maximal equicontinuous factor is trivial.

Since S_J maps minimal subsets of $\{0,1\}^\Lambda$ for $(S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}$ to minimal subsets of $\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{O}_K}$ for $(S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}$, we obtain, in view of Theorem D that

$$\{\mathbf{0}\} \text{ is the only minimal subset for } ((S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}, X_{\mathscr{B}})$$

(here $\mathbf{0}$ stands for the element of $\{0,1\}^\Lambda$ having all coordinates equal to zero). This covers part (D) of Sarnak's program in setting (IV).

It remains to cover (E). In view of Remark 4.1 and Remark 4.2, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{A}\subseteq\{0,1\}^\Lambda\times H \text{ is a (non-trivial) joining of } (S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda} \text{ and } (T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda} \iff\\ &A:=(S_J\times J)(\widetilde{A}) \text{ is a (non-trivial) joining of } (S_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K} \text{ and } (T_a)_{a\in\mathcal{O}_K}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using Theorem E, we conclude that

$$((S_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\Lambda}, X_{\mathscr{B}}) \text{ has a non-trivial joining with } ((T_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\lambda}, H),$$

whence the proof of (E) is also complete.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank M. Lemańczyk and I. Vinogradov for the helpful comments on the preliminary version of this paper. We also thank T. Downarowicz and B. Kamiński for their help with references to Section 2.7.

References

[1] E. H. El Abdalaoui, J. Kułaga-Przymus, M. Lemańczyk, and T. de la Rue, *The Chowla and the Sarnak conjectures from ergodic theory point of view.* `http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.1673`, 10 2014.

[2] E. H. El Abdalaoui, M. Lemańczyk, and T. de la Rue, *A dynamical point of view on the set of $\mathscr{B}$-free integers*, International Mathematics Research Notices (published online), (2014).

[3] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics.

[4] M. Baake and C. Huck, *Ergodic properties of visible lattice points*, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 288 (2015), pp. 165–188.

[5] A. S. Besicovitch, *On the density of certain sequences of integers*, Math. Ann., 110 (1935), pp. 336–341.

[6] A. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich, *Number theory*, Translated from the Russian by Newcomb Greenleaf. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 20, Academic Press, New York-London, 1966.

[7] A. P. Calderon, *A general ergodic theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2), 58 (1953), pp. 182–191.

[8] F. Cellarosi and Y. G. Sinai, *Ergodic properties of square-free numbers*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., 15 (2013), pp. 1343–1374.

[9] F. Cellarosi and I. Vinogradov, *Ergodic properties of k-free integers in number fields*. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1304.0214`, to appear in the Jounal of Modern Dynamics, 2013.

[10] S. Chowla, *The Riemann hypothesis and Hilbert's tenth problem*, Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 4, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1965.

[11] J. P. Clay, *Proximity relations in transformation groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 108 (1963), pp. 88–96.

[12] J. P. Conze, *Entropie d'un groupe abélien de transformations*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete, 25 (1972/73), pp. 11–30.

[13] E. Eberlein, *On topological entropy of semigroups of commuting transformations*, in International Conference on Dynamical Systems in Mathematical Physics (Rennes, 1975), Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1976, pp. 17–62. Astérisque, No. 40.

[14] S. A. Elsanousi, *A variational principle for the pressure of a continuous Z^2-action on a compact metric space*, Amer. J. Math., 99 (1977), pp. 77–106.

[15] W. R. Emerson, *The pointwise ergodic theorem for amenable groups*, Amer. J. Math., 96 (1974), pp. 472–487.

[16] P. Erdös, *On the density of some sequences of integers*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 54 (1948), pp. 685–692.

[17] E. Følner, *On groups with full Banach mean value*, Math. Scand., 3 (1955), pp. 243–254.

[18] H. Furstenberg, *Disjointness in ergodic theory, minimal sets, and a problem in Diophantine approximation*, Math. Systems Theory, 1 (1967), pp. 1–49.

[19] E. Glasner, *Ergodic theory via joinings*, vol. 101 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[20] F. Hahn and W. Parry, *Some characteristic properties of dynamical systems with quasi-discrete spectra*, Math. Systems Theory, 2 (1968), pp. 179–190.

[21] R. R. Hall, *Sets of multiples*, vol. 118 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[22] C. Huck and M. Baake, *Dynamical properties of k-free lattice points*, Acta Phys. Pol. A, 126 (2014), pp. 482–485.

[23] Y. Katznelson and B. Weiss, *Commuting measure-preserving transformations*, Israel J. Math., 12 (1972), pp. 161–173.

[24] D. Kerr and H. Li, *Independence in topological and C^*-dynamics*, Math. Ann., 338 (2007), pp. 869–926.

[25] J. Kułaga-Przymus, M. Lemańczyk, and B. Weiss, *On invariant measures for $\mathscr{B}$-free systems.* `http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.3745`, to appear in Proc. London Math. Soc. (2).

[26] D. Kwietniak, *Topological entropy and distributional chaos in hereditary shifts with applications to spacing shifts and beta shifts*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 33 (2013), pp. 2451–2467.

[27] E. Lindenstrauss, *Pointwise theorems for amenable groups*, Invent. Math., 146 (2001), pp. 259–295.

[28] L. Mirsky, *Note on an asymptotic formula connected with r-free integers*, Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser., 18 (1947), pp. 178–182.

[29] ———, *Arithmetical pattern problems relating to divisibility by rth powers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), 50 (1949), pp. 497–508.

[30] ———, *Summation formulae involving arithmetic functions*, Duke Math. J., 16 (1949), pp. 261–272.

[31] M. Misiurewicz, *A short proof of the variational principle for a $\mathbf{Z}_+^N$ action on a compact space*, in International Conference on Dynamical Systems in Mathematical Physics (Rennes, 1975), Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1976, pp. 147–157. Astérisque, No. 40.

[32] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, vol. 322 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, With a foreword by G. Harder.

[33] P. Oprocha and G. Zhang, *Topological aspects of dynamics of pairs, tuples and sets*, in Recent progress in general topology. III, Atlantis Press, Paris, 2014, pp. 665–709.

[34] D. S. Ornstein and B. Weiss, *Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups*, J. Analyse Math., 48 (1987), pp. 1–141.

[35] R. Peckner, *Uniqueness of the measure of maximal entropy for the squarefree flow.* `http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.2905`, to appear in Israel J. Math.

[36] P. A. B. Pleasants and C. Huck, *Entropy and diffraction of the k-free points in n-dimensional lattices*, Discrete Comput. Geom., 50 (2013), pp. 39–68.

[37] D. Ruelle, *Statistical mechanics on a compact set with Z^v action satisfying expansiveness and specification*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 187 (1973), pp. 237–251.

[38] P. Sarnak, *Three lectures on the Möbius function, randomness and dynamics.* `http://publications.ias.edu/sarnak/`.

[39] P. Sarnak, *Mobius randomness and dynamics*, Not. S. Afr. Math. Soc., 43 (2012), pp. 89–97.

[40] A. Shulman, *Maximal ergodic theorems on groups.* Dep. Lit. NIINTI, No. 2184, 1988.

[41] A. T. Tagi-Zade, *A variational characterization of the topological entropy of continuous groups of transformations. The case of $\mathbf{R}^n$ actions*, Mat. Zametki, 49 (1991), pp. 114–123, 160.

[42] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, second ed., 1986. Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown.

[43] P. Walters, *An introduction to ergodic theory*, vol. 79 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[44] B. Weiss, *Actions of amenable groups*, in Topics in dynamics and ergodic theory, vol. 310 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003, pp. 226–262.

[45] N. Wiener, *The ergodic theorem*, Duke Math. J., 5 (1939), pp. 1–18.

[46] T. S. Wu, *Proximal relations in topological dynamics*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 16 (1965), pp. 513–514.

Aurelia Bartnicka
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland
E-mail address: `aurbart@mat.umk.pl`

Joanna Kułaga-Przymus
Institute of Mathematics, Polish Acadamy of Sciences, Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland
E-mail address: `joanna.kulaga@gmail.com`